

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/998,910	11/30/2001	Joan C. Teng	OBLX-01024OS0	4169
7590 01/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Burt Magen			GECKIL, MEHMET B	
Vierra Magen M	1arcus Harmon & DeNiro, 1	LLP		<u> </u>
685 Market Street, Suite 540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94105-4206			2142	

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/998,910	TENG, JOAN C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mehmet B. Geckil	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuta Any reply received by the Office later than threa months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within tha statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, causa tha application to becoma ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. tha mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 November 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second state of the second s	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/998,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

1. Claims 1-39 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berg et al.
- 4. <u>Berg et al</u> (5,999,911) taught the invention substantially as claimed (e.g., as in exemplary claim 1) workflow, comprising the steps of:
- a) accessing a template (Figure 8, element 230);
- b) creating a definition of a first workflow based on the template (Figure 8, element 252);
- c) storing the definition of the workflow (Figure 8, element 258).
- 5. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the network workflow management art at the time of the invention that the claimed invention differed from the teachings of Berg et al only by a degree, e.g., in the claimed parameters but this is no more than a difference in a degree because claim parameters are obvious variations of states taught Berg et al. Berg et al reference taught all of the workflow managed through the states. Applicant is claiming managing the workflow through parameters

Art Unit: 2142

because of the template being an XML document. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the network workflow management art at the time of the invention that implementing well known workflow management in XML structure would be an obvious variation of the well known workflow management. Other claimed elements are all obvious variations of the well known workflow management techniques. For example, the abstract of Berg et al at the end states that the workflow and its related data is accessible to multiple users.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehmet Geckil whose telephone number is (571) 272-3894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 A.M. to 3:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Jack Harvey, can be reached on (571) 272-3896.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/998,910

Art Unit: 2142

1/27/05

Meht get!

MEHMET B. GECKIL PRIMARY EXAMINER